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Texas Session Laws: 
Same Chapter, Different Verse

By James Hambleton and Jim Paulsen

An inconsistency in the publication of Texas session laws, beginning with the tag-end of the 68th Legislature, promises to create considerable confusion in finding and citing session laws. The problem stems from the fact that one publisher produced the paper pamphlet "advance sheets" for new laws, while another released the bound volumes of the same session laws. As one might expect, page numbers do not coincide.

West Publishing Company publishes the paperback *Vernon's Texas Session Law Service*, providing reasonably rapid access to legislation as it is passed by the Legislature. From 1941 to 1985, West also held the contract from the Texas secretary of state to produce the "official" hardbound *Texas General Laws*. Page numbers remained the same, since the same printing plates were used to produce both the unofficial and the official versions. The only real difference was the addition of a suitable-for-framing certificate from the secretary of state, found at the beginning of the bound volumes.

In an effort to break into this area of the Texas legal publications market, however, in 1985 Bancroft-Whitney won the contract to publish the bound laws from the Texas secretary of state. (This contract is awarded every two years.) Since the format used by Bancroft-Whitney is more compact than that employed by West, page numbers no longer correspond. While any increase in competition in the high-priced law book market is laudable, this change in format has created some potential for confusion in research and citation.

As laws are passed, each piece of legislation is assigned a unique chapter number by the Texas secretary of state. When the laws are gathered and published by a session law service, each chapter can also be referenced by the page number on which it starts.

More often than not, an attorney will attempt to find a session law by its page number, rather than by its chapter number. Not only are attorneys more accustomed to working with page numbers than with "chapters" and "sections," they have also been encouraged by legal publishers to prefer page references. For example, in *Vernon's* annotated statutes and codes (the "black" statutes), references to session laws have been first by page number, then by chapter number. If an attorney wishes to find a session law citation by finding a parallel citation to the appropriate statute or code section in the *Shepard's Texas Citations* statutory tables, only the page references are given.

What this means is that if an attorney attempts to find a 1985 session law, armed only with a page number from *Shepard's Texas Citations* or the West 1986 pocket parts, that attorney will have a long and fruitless search, as the page numbers will not correspond to those in the bound volume. Since *Shepard's Texas Citations* do not even contain a chapter reference for session laws in the general statute tables, that source is useless.

Fortunately, this story has a relatively happy ending. West Publishing Company has been made aware of the problem and has already corrected it. The 1987 pocket parts for the annotated statutes and codes contain an explanation to the effect that, beginning with the 1984 Second Called Session, references to session laws will be provided only by chapter number, rather than by page number. Although Shepard's had not, at this printing, changed the format for session law citation, Shepard's/McGraw-Hill has indicated that in a forthcoming pamphlet all references to session laws, beginning with 1985, will be changed from page number to chapter number.

Equally as fortunate is the fact that no changes in citation form will be necessary. Even before it made any difference whether one cited a page number in the session law service or in the bound volume, the "Green Book" (the Texas Law Review's *Texas Rules of Form*) required different citation forms for *Vernon's Texas Session Law Service* and the *Texas General Laws*, together with parallel citations to chapter and section. These distinctions, formerly subject to criticism as redundant and practically meaningless, now have some utility.

For example, according to the Green Book, an "advance sheet" citation to a 1985 session law should look like: "Act of May 25, 1985, ch. 648, 1985 Tex. Sess. Law Serv. 4951 (Vernon)." The same legislation, cited to the official bound volume, would be "Act of May 25, 1985, ch. 648, 1985 Tex. Gen. Laws 2398." This citation form eliminates confusion and, since it provides chapter numbers as well as page numbers, makes cross-reference from one publication to the other easier.

Substantively, the change from West Publishing to Bancroft-Whitney seems to have little effect upon the session laws. Unlike West, Bancroft-Whitney does not include the occasionally useful footnote references to the *Vernon's* Statutes numbering scheme (the familiar article numbers) that embellish the "advance sheets" for recently passed legislation. Instead, parallel references to the annotated civil statutes are found only in a table at the end of the last bound volume for the session. On the other hand, the more compact format may be a boon to those who lack library expansion space.

The real losers under the new regime of session law publishers are smaller law firms. In the past, it would have been possible to conserve library budgets by just retaining the *Vernon's Texas Session Law Service* "advance sheets" instead of acquiring the bound volumes. The need for citation to session laws is most commonly encountered in the first year or so after passage of the legislation, before the laws have been integrated into the "black" statutes. On the relatively rare occasions when an attorney might need to cite older session laws, citations could be "fudged" by just using the page number from the advance sheets. Now, librarians and attorneys will be put to the choice of buying the bound volumes or making a pilgrimage to the county law library whenever the need for precise citation arises.

---
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**Baylor Wins Competition**

A Baylor Law School team won the Region 10 National Moot Court Competition sponsored by the Bar of the City of New York and the American College of Trial Lawyers on Nov. 15, 1986 in Dallas. Team members were Scott Edwards, Sid Murphy, and Liz Masters. Teams from 12 law schools located in Texas, Oklahoma, and Arkansas competed in the regional competition.

The Baylor team defeated South Texas College of Law in the semi-final round and Texas Tech University Law School in the final round. As regional champion, Baylor advances to the final round of the National Moot Court competition along with runner-up Texas Tech. The final round will be held in New York City on Jan. 26.

Masters won the award for best advocate in the competition. Profs. Bill Trail and Mike Rogers were coaches.

---

**South Texas Wins Second Place At Moot Court Competition**

The South Texas Advocates won second place at the Hartford National Invitational Moot Court Competition held in November. The South Texas team argued against law schools from across the country and advanced to the quarter-finals where they defeated Salmon Chase of Kentucky. In the semi-finals St. John's lost to Florida State and South Texas defeated John Marshall of Chicago. In the finals South Texas lost to Florida State by 3/10 of a point although South Texas had won the oral round.

Team members were John Ragland, Gus Pappas, Pat Taylor-Nork, Mark Hefter, and Ted Dravis.

Also in November, the South Texas Advocates finished third at the National Regionals at Southern Methodist University, winning all of their six oral rounds. Team members were Dale Jefferson, David Minton, and Scott Lannie.

---

**Law School Plans Second Alumni Reunion**

The University of Texas Law Alumni Association will host the Second Annual Law Alumni Reunion on the UT-Austin campus April 3-5, 1987.

This reunion follows on the heels of a highly acclaimed first effort in April 1986. Some 525 law school graduates, spouses, friends, and special guests gathered in Austin for that reunion.

Houston attorney David Beck heads the reunion planning committee, and some preliminary decisions have been made. Reunion organizers say they plan once again to hold a gala on Friday evening and to have a general assembly on Saturday that offers a chance for university and law school officials to report to alumni on the state of the law school. Tours, special programs and seminars, an outdoor luncheon, and several recreational activities are already in the planning stages. The Texas Law Review Association banquet is planned for Saturday evening, and other student organizations have been offered an opportunity to schedule events.

Registration information can be obtained from the association office, 727 East 26th Street, Austin 78705, 512/471-5151, ext. 202.
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San Antonio Inn of Court Formed

An American Inn of Court, based on the English Inns of Court that train barristers, has been formed in San Antonio. The chapter is the 20th to form under initiative of former Chief Justice Warren Berger. It combines federal and state judges, lawyers of various experience levels, and students in an effort to improve professional skills of all members. The Inn’s initial meeting was held Nov. 3, 1986 at St. Mary’s Law School. Regular monthly meetings begin in January.

Officers were elected from 21 Masters of the Bench. Officers are: Chief United States District Judge of the Western District of Texas William S. Sessions, president; Terry Bickerton, counselor; and Lewin Plunkett, secretary/treasurer.

Members of the executive board of the Inn are Gerald Goldberg, Judge Jack Miller, and Jack Pasqual. Other initial masters of the bench are Lucien B. Campbell, George H. Spencer, Judge Fred Shannon, Franklin Houser, Phil Hardberger, Robert C. Scott, James L. Branton, Charles Butts, Judge David Peeples, Judge Solomon Casseb, Jr., Judge Edward C. Prado, Judge Carolyn H. Spears, Judge John Cornyn III, Prof. David Dittfurth, and Randy Tower.

Initial barristers are David Prichard, Charles Houlihan, Lewis Sessions, Joseph Casseb, Ricardo Cedillo, Mary Brennan, Judith Blakeway, Paul Green, Bill Ford, Phyllis Speedlin, Daniel Sciano, and Rudy Garza.

Initial student members are William A. Taylor, Dana Stripling, Michael Bassett, Robert Tradeau, Beth McAllister, Joan Fiorino, Jeffrey Goode, Earle Straight, Samira Mery, Richard Shreves, Doug Hearn, and Jonathan Cluck.

Dallas Association of Defense Counsel

The Dallas Association of Defense Counsel officers for the 1986-1987 term are: Travis E. Vanderpool, chairman; Clyde D. Bracken, first vice chairman; John H. McElhaney, second vice chairman; Sheree L. McCall, treasurer; and Robert H. Frost, secretary.

Borger Bar Association officers for 1986-1987 are Rosa White Pace, president; Betty Newby, vice-president; and Carrie Braymer, secretary-treasurer. At the association’s November meeting, Pace presented a report on the 34th Annual Taxation Conference and the 1986 Tax Reform Act.

ABOTA National President Speaks at Dallas Chapter’s Annual Dinner

James George, newly installed national president of the American Board of Trial Advocates (ABOTA), spoke at the Dallas Chapter of ABOTA’s annual dinner, Nov. 13.

Held to honor the area local judiciary, the dinner was coordinated by Dallas Chapter President C.L. Mike Schmidt.

George spoke on the “Enhancement of Lawyer Image” to state Supreme Court judges, local judges, ABOTA members and chapter presidents from across the state. Attending ABOTA presidents included: Guy Allison, Corpus Christi; Schuyler Marshall, El Paso; and Jim Barlow, Fort Worth.

“ABOTA is working to communicate more with members of the media and with clients about the lawyer’s role in the judicial process,” said Schmidt. “One of the keys to better understanding the trial process and the effects of a decision is a thorough knowledge of our position as lawyers in the legal process as a whole.

“Through effective communication with the media, we hope to put to rest a lot of misunderstanding and confusion about the legal process and help them cover trials and the issues those trials represent.

“By communicating with our clients about our roles in the process, we believe we can dispell many misconceptions and unrealistic expectations and make the process as a whole more effective,” Schmidt added.
Tarrant County Women's Bar Association officers for 1986-1987 are (clockwise from top left): Suzanne Jennings, Elizabeth Austin, Carol Ware Davidson, Vicki Ganske, Michelle Youngblood, Melinda Watts-Smith, Sarah Olin Grace (president), and Kelcie Hibbs. Not pictured are Pamela Arnold Owen and Debra Daniel.

**Austin Black Lawyers Association**

The Austin Black Lawyers Association hosted their first annual golf tournament on June 7 to raise money for the Virgil C. Lott Scholarship Fund. This scholarship is given to a high school graduate in honor of the first black graduate of the University of Texas School of Law. This year's recipient, Keith Brown, is the second person to receive the scholarship. Brown received $900 toward defrayment of his freshman year expenses at UT. The scholarship was presented at a reception in the home of Judge Harriet M. Murphy.

The ABLA continues to enjoy a close relationship with black law students at UT through contact with the Thurgood Marshall Legal Society. ABLA and TMLS held their fourth annual picnic on Nov. 1. The lawyers triumphed in the athletic event and are the reigning volleyball champions.

New ABLA officers for 1987 were elected at the organization's annual meeting Nov. 5. Officers are: Arthur L. Walker, president; Lora J. Livingston, vice president; George Rubagumya, recording secretary; Norman M. Bonner, corresponding secretary; Andrea P. Bryant, treasurer; Judge Harriet M. Murphy, member-at-large; and Brenda P. Kennedy, immediate past president.

**Association of Women Attorneys**

The Association of Women Attorneys of Houston hosted their annual Judicial Reception on Oct. 1, 1986 at the Heritage Club to honor civil district court judges. Each year since 1978 the association has honored a different segment of the judiciary. The reception affords an opportunity for attorneys and judges to interact outside of the courthouse setting.

At the reception, the association also presents a scholarship to one female law student from each of the Houston law schools.

Scholarship winners are Terri L. Latham of Texas Southern University, Mary Ann Abbott Belath of South Texas College of Law, and Maurine Audel of the University of Houston.

**Fourth Texas Intellectual Property Law Group Established in Austin**

More than 50 members of the new Austin Intellectual Property Law Association (AIPLA) convened for a luncheon meeting in September 1986. Guest speaker was Lt. Gov. William Hobby. Other special guests at the luncheon were John M. Duncan, chairman of the State Bar Intellectual Property Law Section; Edward K. Fein, president of Houston IPLA; and Gus Van Steenberg, president of San Antonio IPLA.

Louis T. Pirkey, AIPLA president, served as host and presided at the luncheon meeting. AIPLA member Dudley Dobie introduced Lt. Gov. Hobby.

Other AIPLA officers, in addition to Pirkey, are David A. Roth, president-elect; David Mossman, secretary; Jerry M. Keys, treasurer; and Andrea P. Bryant, delegate to the National Council of Patent Law Associations.

AIPLA encourages membership not only among attorneys, but also offers associate membership to students, entrepreneurs, inventors, and others with a professional interest in intellectual property issues.

To date, four intellectual property law associations have been established in Texas. Associations are located in Houston, Dallas, San Antonio, and Austin.
Meddling With Settling:  
Rebuttal Without Waiver  

By Linda L. Addison  

© Linda L. Addison  

Question: Does rebuttal waive error when evidence of a settlement agreement is admitted over objection?  

Answer: No.  

Texas Rule of Evidence 408 excludes evidence of settlements and offers to settle. Rule 408 does not require exclusion when the evidence is offered for another purpose, such as proving bias or prejudice or interest of a witness or a party. An exception to the rule excluding settlement agreements is granted for agreements that cause misalignments of the parties, such as the "Mary Carter" settlements, which present false portrayals to the jury of the real interests of the parties. The benefit of this exception is normally granted to the party who will be harmed—the non-settling defendant. Whether a settlement agreement is a "Mary Carter" agreement is sometimes difficult to determine. 

In Scurlock Oil Company v. Smithwick, the Texas Supreme Court recently considered whether a party waives the admission into evidence of an inadmissible settlement agreement by attempting to explain or rebut it once it has been admitted into evidence over objection. In Scurlock, appellees called a non-party as an adverse witness to establish what the court of appeals characterized as an "unquestionably prejudicial guaranteed settlement agreement" from another trial, even after the witness denied knowledge of that agreement. The court of appeals wrote that although an agreement from another trial with different parties might be admissible under other circumstances, its admission under these circumstances was error. However, it held that Scurlock waived the error "by using inadmissible evidence for its own purposes" when it attempted to explain the settlement to the jury in closing argument. The Texas Supreme Court agreed that the settlement agreement was inadmissible. 

The proper method by which relevant portions of Mary Carter agreements may be brought to the jury's attention, it wrote that there was absolutely no showing that the adverse witness, a former employee of Scurlock Oil Company, was in any way interested in the outcome of this case because of his former employer's settlement with another party.

But the Texas Supreme Court did not agree that Scurlock waived the error. In reversing and remanding, it explained that "[i]n having properly objected, Scurlock was not required to sit idly by and take its chances on appeal or retrial when incompetent evidence was admitted... Scurlock was entitled to defend itself by explaining, rebutting, or demonstrating the untruthfulness of the objectionable evidence without waiving its objection." 

1. Tex. R. Evid. 408.  
2. Id.  
3. City of Houston v. Sam P. Wallace and Co., 585 S.W.2d 669 (Tex. 1979); Duval County Ranch Company v. Alamo Lumber Company, 663 S.W.2d 627 (Tex. App.—Amarillo 1983, writ ref'd n.r.e.).  
4. See General Motors Corporation v. Simmons, 558 S.W.2d 855 (Tex. 1977).  
5. See, e.g. Singleton v. Crown Central Petroleum, 713 S.W.2d 115 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1985, writ requested). In Singleton, the Houston Court of Appeals explained that..."[t]he test for [a] Mary Carter agreement is whether the settling defendant had a financial stake in the success of the plaintiff's recovery such that the agreement altered the posture of the parties and induced the settling defendant to promote the plaintiff's cause of action against the non-settling defendant." Id. at 122. The Singleton court found that under the terms of the settlement agreement at issue, the financial interest of the appellant and the settling party were not the same, and that the trial court properly excluded the evidence of settlement from the jury. Id. at 122-23.  
8. Id. at 9.  
9. Id.  
11. Id.  
12. Id.  
13. Id.
Texas Legacy: You’re the missing part.

The Texas Historical Foundation is producing the most important and lasting tribute to the Texas Sesquicentennial—'Texas Legacy,' a monumental sculpture by the noted Texas artist, Robert Summers. Depicted above, this magnificent bronze will be approximately 40 feet long, 20 feet wide and 17 feet high and will weigh some 20 tons, making it one of the largest cast bronzes in the country. It will be placed in the State Capitol complex in Austin, as authorized by the 69th Texas Legislature.

You can play a part in this historic project, which has been endorsed by the State Bar of Texas. Your contribution of $500 or more guarantees the timely completion of the project and insures that your name will be inscribed in the base, a lasting testimony to your support of the preservation of Texas history.

My contribution is:

$5,000  $2,500  $1,000  $500

My inscription on the monument should read as follows:

(Please type or print clearly)

Name

Address

City  State  Zip

Business Phone (   )  Home Phone (   )

Space on the monument will be reserved in order of payment received.

Please make your check payable to The Texas Historical Foundation. Your contribution is tax deductible to the full extent allowed by law.

580 Westlake Park Blvd.  •  Suite 1640  •  Houston, TX 77079
By Terri LeClercq

How many readers can resist the temptation to flip to the end of an opinion or memo to discover the buried conclusion before reading the document? If the writer has followed IRAC, the golden-rule acronym for organizing legal discussions, experienced readers can expect:

- Issue;
- Rule;
- Application; and
- Conclusion.

As far as it goes, IRAC is a useful tool for keeping writers to the point and making sure they meet the assignment. But slavish adherence to IRAC creates reading problems for anyone other than a legal historian. Most readers want to know, up-front, the point of the document. A partner, for example, wants to know the associate’s legal opinion; attorneys want to know the outcome of an opinion, and clients usually want to know the answer to their questions without reading the legal background first.

Certainly the traditional IRAC helps writers:

- Articulating the issue narrows the writer’s focus, helping writers during research to see disparities between their introductory issue and the unfolding research. Additionally, the early announcement of issues identifies the subject and scope of the legal instrument.

- Researching and identifying the applicable rules saves valuable research time and narrows the spectrum of relevant facts.

- Applying the specific facts to the rules for the issue connects history to the present. Through the comparison of the facts of the present case against the facts of the earlier cases, the writer predicts the outcome of the present case.

- The conclusion leads the reader to a well-reasoned and accurate summary of the legal question.

The process of writing frequently entangles the individual steps involved in IRAC, taking them out of order and doubling back to the beginning, but the final formula is designed to create order out of chaos, to give substance to scattered notes and ideas. And that is useful. Once novices satisfy each element of IRAC, they can be confident that they have satisfied the basic task of the writing assignment. However, although the basic concepts of IRAC are necessary, they form only the basic skeletal bones of a discussion, bones that need some fleshing out before they represent a full body of discussion. This preliminary, basic skeleton is organized for the writer’s convenience rather than the readers’. After writers have completed this skeleton, they should recognize it for what it is: an excellent beginning. Then, legal writers need to shift perspectives and consider the reading needs of their audience. A simple modification of IRAC will allow writers not only to cover the basics but to transform the basics into satisfactory prose. The modification puts the central message up front; that is, after stating the issue, legal writers summarize their message, the “what” about the legal question. The result is ICRAc.

ICRAc represents only a slight modification of the original formula but alleviates the frustration of reader guess-work because legal writers insert an anticipatory conclusion (c) after stating the issue. Readers therefore know, before digging into the argument, what the writer has to say. That is why successful legal writers, conscious of their audience’s impatience, or curiosity, or hurried reading habits, already treat their readers to this introductory conclusion. They appreciate that legal readers are similar to readers of other nonfiction documents who want to know what they are going to read before committing time and effort to the reading. With the central idea at the beginning of the magazine article, memo, newspaper story, or brief, the readers can then move into the discussion that follows to learn “why”; with this prior knowledge, the reader can gain a better appreciation for the following argument.

Unfortunately, legal writers are reluctant to commit themselves to the “what” before they have carefully laid the groundwork with the “why.” They offer numerous arguments against this modification:

- Our subject is too serious and too easily misunderstood for
a one-sentence or one-paragraph answer.
* We do not want to sound like there is only one answer to the memo question (or one reason for the opinion), and a short answer up front implies just that (i.e., the writers do not want to commit to an early conclusion).
* Readers need to understand the variables and see the historical development.
* Too strong a commitment to one answer defeats the purpose of both a memo (review all options) and opinion (explain the legal reasoning that produced the answer).
* No one will ever read my full memo again, and I worked hard on those 26 pages of writing.
* The conclusion deals with a specific set of facts the reader will not be familiar with yet.
* This modification makes the conclusion repetitive.
* Allowing readers to dictate a prose style to attorneys is ludicrous.

Each of these objections is a valid defense against change, but they ignore the primary rhetorical concern of legal writing: giving the readers the information they need. Legal writers have an obligation, therefore, despite the concerns these objections illustrate, to insert a short introductory conclusion when it is possible. This conclusion helps both writers and readers:

- It satisfies readers who need a quick answer.
- This early conclusion helps writers arrange the discussion of the rules and also helps readers anticipate and follow the discussion. The early conclusion becomes a roadmap of the terrain to follow. In the above example, for instance, the writer will obviously begin the discussion with a list of the four requirements for duty-to-warn. The writer might initially grant that the client’s case satisfies two elements of the test. But then the memo will obviously investigate the final two elements, explaining how the client’s fact situation differs from the remaining two tests that the court requires.

This short conclusion gives a rhetorical emphasis to briefs. Without it, ineffective briefs waste introductory pages by detailing the history of a statute; buried beyond this history somewhere is the point the brief was intended to make. If brief writers do not begin with their central argument, readers find themselves constructing the argument, supplying their own ideas about how the statute works, or how each of the chronicled cases affects the present case. Although their research is important to the success of the argument, its role is supportive, and it should be located accordingly. The persuasive conclusion should be announced in the Statement of the Case (where applicable) and in the first paragraphs that introduce each issue. Judges who read these briefs want to know the issue and the writer’s stand on the issue. After they have read the initial paragraphs, judges take their first impressions into the reading of the subsequent, supporting material.

**Example:**

**Question Presented:** Did our client have a duty to warn his rescuer of the dangers around him?

**Short Answer:** No, our client’s situation meets only two of the four required elements of the “duty to warn” test created by the judiciary.

This early conclusion helps writers arrange the discussion of the rules and also helps readers anticipate and follow the discussion. The early conclusion becomes a roadmap of the terrain to follow. In the above example, for instance, the writer will obviously begin the discussion with a list of the four requirements for duty-to-warn. The writer might initially grant that the client’s case satisfies two elements of the test. But then the memo will obviously investigate the final two elements, explaining how the client’s fact situation differs from the remaining two tests that the court requires.

This short conclusion gives a rhetorical emphasis to briefs. Without it, ineffective briefs waste introductory pages by detailing the history of a statute; buried beyond this history somewhere is the point the brief was intended to make. If brief writers do not begin with their central argument, readers find themselves constructing the argument, supplying their own ideas about how the statute works, or how each of the chronicled cases affects the present case. Although their research is important to the success of the argument, its role is supportive, and it should be located accordingly. The persuasive conclusion should be announced in the Statement of the Case (where applicable) and in the first paragraphs that introduce each issue. Judges who read these briefs want to know the issue and the writer’s stand on the issue. After they have read the initial paragraphs, judges take their first impressions into the reading of the subsequent, supporting material.

**Example:**

**Question Presented:** Did our client have a duty to warn his rescuer of the dangers around him?

**Short Answer:** No, our client’s situation meets only two of the four required elements of the “duty to warn” test created by the judiciary.

This early conclusion helps writers arrange the discussion of the rules and also helps readers anticipate and follow the discussion. The early conclusion becomes a roadmap of the terrain to follow. In the above example, for instance, the writer will obviously begin the discussion with a list of the four requirements for duty-to-warn. The writer might initially grant that the client’s case satisfies two elements of the test. But then the memo will obviously investigate the final two elements, explaining how the client’s fact situation differs from the remaining two tests that the court requires.

This short conclusion gives a rhetorical emphasis to briefs. Without it, ineffective briefs waste introductory pages by detailing the history of a statute; buried beyond this history somewhere is the point the brief was intended to make. If brief writers do not begin with their central argument, readers find themselves constructing the argument, supplying their own ideas about how the statute works, or how each of the chronicled cases affects the present case. Although their research is important to the success of the argument, its role is supportive, and it should be located accordingly. The persuasive conclusion should be announced in the Statement of the Case (where applicable) and in the first paragraphs that introduce each issue. Judges who read these briefs want to know the issue and the writer’s stand on the issue. After they have read the initial paragraphs, judges take their first impressions into the reading of the subsequent, supporting material.

**Example:**

**Question Presented:** Did our client have a duty to warn his rescuer of the dangers around him?

**Short Answer:** No, our client’s situation meets only two of the four required elements of the “duty to warn” test created by the judiciary.

Thus this short introduction helps both the judge and the attorney, establishing the limits and message of the document. Implementing ICARAC is relatively simple because it does not change the basic order of IRAC, but merely adds an element — the short introductory conclusion. Office-wide memo forms should include a slot for “Brief Answer,” just as they do for clerks and law students.

**Example:**

**Question Presented:** Does the federal court have jurisdiction in our case?

**Short Answer:** Yes, we satisfy both jurisdiction requirements: the money involved amounts to more than $10,000, and there is complete diversity of citizenship at the time of filing.

If members of a firm cannot agree on a short answer slot, writers should introduce the issue and summarize the answer within the first paragraph. After satisfying the reader about the “what” of the legal document, the writer can then return to IRAC and trace the development of the research that produced the answer.

**Example:**

**Question Presented:** Does the federal court have jurisdiction in our case?

**Discussion:** We satisfy both jurisdictional requirements. The amount involved in this controversy exceeds $10,000, and we can establish complete diversity of citizenship between the parties at the time of filing. Thus we satisfy both U.S.C. 1332(a)(1)(1982) requisites for federal jurisdiction.

There is no dispute over the amount involved in this case. Plaintiff ...

This method of forcing the conclusion into the foreground, either in a special slot or in the introductory paragraph, could have the pleasant side effect of adding order into the researched material. In the above example, the writer dismisses the first jurisdictional requirement by presenting undisputed facts. That dismissal allows him to concentrate on the second element, the diversity of citizenship. This organization is anticipated by the short conclusion. How many attorneys have read through the tedious details of 15 cases, only to discover in the final pages that a 1986 statute overrides the earlier cases?

Attorneys can see the effect of ICARAC on client letters also. Client letters may create a different problem, however. After the introduction of the legal question the client has asked, writers have to use their judgment about the up-front answer. Clients want to know answers; that is why they have asked questions. However, attorneys may decide that bad news needs to be couched. What attorney could be comfortable with a harsh and jolting salutation: “Dear Joe, Sorry the letter has taken so long, but we lost the fight and you lose the farm.” In client situations, the real question asked may be, “What’s happening, and what can we do about it?”

Also, clients with legal staffs of their own frequently ask...
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Legal Articles Guidelines

Each legal article submitted to the Texas Bar Journal must conform to the following guidelines:

Length: no more than 3,000 words, excluding footnotes. Generally, approximately 12 typewritten, double-spaced pages will equal 3,000 words.

Footnotes: must be concise and be placed at the end of the article.

Form: must be typewritten, double-spaced on 8½" x 11" paper; five (5) copies of each article must be provided. Only completed articles will be considered (no abstracts, article outlines or ideas will be considered).

Content: wide-ranging topics are accepted, as long as they pertain to the Texas legal profession and are of interest to a large number of Texas practitioners. Not acceptable are articles dealing with pending litigation, or current political or religious issues or personalities. Articles which advocate legislation or a legislative position are published only when approved by the State Bar's Legislative Committee and/or Board of Directors.

Review: The Editorial Committee, comprised of three members of the State Bar Board of Directors, has sole discretion over the acceptability of legal articles for the Bar Journal. (The Bar Journal staff acts as liaison between the committee and authors, and schedules the publication of accepted articles.) Written reviews of articles are not offered to authors by the Editorial Committee.

Biographical information/photo: each author of an accepted article must supply the Texas Bar Journal a recent black and white photograph of himself/herself and a brief (50 words or less) biographical sketch, both of which appear in conjunction with the article.

Update: approximately six weeks prior to the publication of an accepted article, the author is notified of the publication date by the Bar Journal staff. The author is asked to provide any necessary updates/supplementation for the article prior to the editorial deadline. Each article is scheduled for publication by the Bar Journal managing editor. Scheduling of articles is based on the timeliness, subject matter, length and inventory of approved legal articles.

Due to the large number of legal articles submitted to the Texas Bar Journal, the Editorial Committee generally takes 30 to 90 days to offer its judgment on each article. After an article has been approved, it is usually published in the Bar Journal within three to six months.

All communications regarding legal articles should be directed to Sandra Williams, Administrative Assistant, Texas Bar Journal, P.O. Box 12487, Capitol Station, Austin, Texas 78711, 512/463-1522.

License To Distribute Material
On Software Available

Nonexclusive, nontransferable licenses to distribute on software many of the forms contained in the State Bar's publication Texas Family Law Practice Manual are available. Similar licenses to distribute on software certain of the material contained in the State Bar's publication Legal Form Manual for Real Estate Transactions are also available. Verbiage of the legal forms sold separately by the State Bar is not included in the licensed material. Basic terms of each agreement include a two-year term and a $500 fee.

Parties interested in obtaining such a license may write: Software License, c/o Office of the General Counsel, State Bar of Texas, P.O. Box 12487, Austin, 78711.
High blood pressure is serious business.

That's one way of looking at it. Another way is to ignore it and hope it goes away. Or tell yourself it's hopeless. And that's called playing with fire. For absolutely no good reason. Because with all the questions that still remain unanswered about this potentially fatal disease, there's one thing we know for certain. And that is that high blood pressure can usually be controlled. By following your doctor's advice. By exercising regularly to control weight. By eating the right foods. By cutting down on salt in your diet. And by sticking to your prescribed medication.

If you've got high blood pressure, talk to your doctor about getting started on a program that will lower it. Because if you don't take it seriously today, it could take you by surprise tomorrow.
C.S. Yancey


Born in Dallas, Yancey graduated from Yale University in 1931 and Harvard Law School in 1936. Yancey was admitted to the New York Bar in 1937 and the Texas Bar in 1941.

From 1937 to 1941, Yancey practiced law with the New York firm of Barry, Wainwright, Thacher & Symmers. In 1941 he began practice with the Dallas firm of Robertson, Leachman, Payne, Gardere & Lancaster. From 1946 to 1960, Yancey was a partner in the Dallas insurance company of T.A. Manning & Sons. Yancey was vice president of Hinton & Locke, Dallas mortgage bankers, and was also active in the development of Southwestern Fire and Casualty Co.

Yancey served in the U.S. Navy during World War II. He earned 14 battle stars and was awarded the Bronze medal. After the war Yancey continued his involvement through the Naval Reserves. He was commanding officer of the Naval Reserve Surface Battalion and director of the Naval Reserve Officers School. He became a captain in 1952 and retired in 1961 after 30 years of service.

Yancey was president of the Dallas chapter of the Navy League, and was a member of the New York Bar, the Dallas Bar Association, the Retired Officers Association, Phi Kappa Psi, the Dallas Club, Brook Hollow Golf Club, and the Idlewild and Terpsichorian Clubs. His hobbies were sailing and hunting.

Yancey is survived by his wife, Anne Richardson Yancey, Merriconeag Rd., So. Harpswell, ME 04079; a son, C. Stephen Yancey; and a daughter, Sherod Anne Yancey.

J.D. Eades


A Dallas native, Eades received an LL.B from Southern Methodist University in 1939 and was admitted to the Texas Bar that same year.

Eades was a major in the Army Air Force from 1942 to 1946 and was a colonel in the U.S. Army Reserve, J.A.G. Corps. from 1946 to 1971.

Eades practiced law with the Dallas firms of Eades & Eades from 1939 to 1942; Lefkowitz, Green, Ginsburg, Eades & Gilmore from 1954 to 1965; and Clark, West, Keller, Butler & Ellis from 1965 to 1985. Eades also served as V.A. claims attorney in Dallas from 1946 to 1954.

Eades was licensed to practice before the U.S. Supreme Court and the U.S. Court of Military Appeals. He was a member of Kappa Alpha and Delta Theta Phi. He also was a member of University Park United Methodist Church where he served on the administrative board and was president of the Mr. and Mrs. Sunday School Class.

Eades is survived by his wife, Rosemarie F. Eades, 3412 Marquette, Dallas 75225; a son, Jack David Eades, Jr.; a daughter, Lorraine Elizabeth Eades; a sister, Mrs. E.W. Awalt of Longview; and two grandchildren, Riana Eades and Taylor Eades.

K. Peery

Kearby Peery, 75, of Wichita Falls died March 6, 1986. A native of Wichita Falls, Peery was a graduate of SMU and attended law school at Cumberland University and the University of Texas. He received his law degree from the University of Texas and was admitted to the Texas Bar in 1933.

With the exception of service in the U.S. Army Air Corps from 1943 to 1946, Peery practiced law in Wichita Falls from 1933 to 1986. He was Wichita Falls city attorney from 1947 to 1949.

Peery was a member of the Wichita County Bar Association (president, 1959 to 1960), the Texas Trial Lawyers Association (president, 1960), and the National Association of Trial Lawyers. He was chairman of the State Bar of Texas Tort & Compensation Section in 1988, and was a fellow in the International Academy of Trial Lawyers.

Peery was a board member of the Wichita Club, president and director of the Wichita Falls Country Club, and a member of First Baptist Church in Wichita Falls.

Peery contributed to numerous legal periodicals and served for many years as guest lecturer at State Bar seminars. He lectured at the University of Texas School of Law in the school’s distinguished guest lecturers series, and in 1958 was guest lecturer at the Texas Judicial Conference where he advocated many reforms which have since been implemented.

Peery’s grandfather, J.G. Kearby, was a former judge and lieutenant governor of Texas. Peery’s nephew, Tom P. Briggs, is an attorney in Dallas.

Peery’s hobbies included golfing, flying, reading, and fishing.

Peery is survived by his wife, Florence Owen Peery, 2628 Breton Rd., Wichita Falls 76308; a daughter, Patricia Wood of Dallas; one sister, Elloise deBoer of Dallas; and three grandsons.

B. Allen

Judge Bruce Allen, 78, of Waxahachie died Feb. 4, 1986.

A native of Freestone County, Allen graduated from Cumberland Law School in 1939 and was admitted to the Texas Bar in 1940. Allen served as a member of the Texas House of Representatives, 1941 to 1942; Ellis County judge, 1943 to 1946; assistant attorney general, 1947 to 1952; and Ellis County district attorney, 1953 to 1969. He was judge of the 40th District Court from 1969 until his retirement in 1975.

Allen was a member and deacon of Waxahachie First Baptist Church and was chairman of deacons and superintendent of Sunday school. He taught the Men’s Downtown Bible Class for more than 30 years.

Survivors include his wife, Rhudelle Allen, 114 Monticello, Waxahachie 75165; a son, Bill Allen (also a member of the Texas Bar); a brother, George Allen; a sister, Loraine Phillips; four grandchildren; and three great-grandchildren.
L.F. Sanders

A native of Ben Wheeler, TX, Sanders attended East Texas State Teachers College, the University of Texas, and Southern Methodist University School of Law. He was admitted to the Texas Bar in 1944.

Sanders practiced law in Canton from 1944 to 1986, and served as Van Zandt County Judge from 1937 to 1944. He was chairman of the Van Zandt County Democratic Executive Committee from 1954 to 1977.

Sanders taught school in the Primrose Independent School District from 1929 to 1937, and also farmed for a brief time.

Sanders was a member of the American Judicature Society; Grievance Prosecuting Committee for District 1A, 1st Bar District; Committee on Admissions, District I; Van Zandt County Bar Association; American Bar Association; Fine Lodge A.F. & A.M., Ben Wheeler; Wills Point Commandry, Wills Point, TX; 12th Masonic District (District Deputy Grand Master); Canton Lion's Club (president); Canton Chamber of Commerce; Canton Trail Riders; and Phi Alpha Delta. Sanders was a scoutmaster for Troop 378 in Canton. He belonged to Canton First United Methodist Church and served as a district steward, trustee, administrative board member, and lay leader. While county judge, Sanders was chosen Man of the Year in East Texas.

Sanders' hobbies and special interests included antiques, contract bridge, horses, and gardening.

Sanders is survived by his wife, Veta Sanders, P.O. Box 416, Canton 75103; a son, Canton attorney Bobby Sanders; one grandson; and one granddaughter.

W.L. Wise, Jr.

A native of Fort Worth, Wise was a graduate of Texas Christian University and the University of Texas Law School. He was admitted to the Texas Bar in 1938.

Wise practiced law until entering the U.S. Air Force in 1941, where he was a member of the National Counter Intelligence Corps. Wise managed a trucking firm in Fort Worth from 1945 to 1961. He moved to Idabel in 1962, where he was a rancher from 1962 to 1968 and president and stockholder of Life Insurance Co. of America from 1968 to 1971. In 1971 Wise purchased the McCurtain Daily Gazette in Idabel, which he operated until 1973.

Wise was president of the Texas Motor Transportation Association from 1950 to 1951, a member of the American Bar Association and the Fort Worth Rivercrest Country Club, and past-president of the Fort Worth Steeple Chase Club. He was a member of University Christian Church in Fort Worth and First Christian Church in Idabel. Wise's father, William L. Wise, Sr., was also a lawyer.

Wise is survived by his wife, Irene Wise, Rt. 3, Box 625, Idabel, OK 74745; two daughters, Nona Louise Carmichael and Martha Jayne Baum, both of Houston; and three grandchildren.

C.C. Randle

Clarence C. Randle, 78, of Plano, died Jan. 1, 1986. Randle attended Midwestern and Trinity Universities and received his LL.B from Southern Methodist University in 1931. He was admitted to the Texas Bar that same year.

Randle served as Ellis County judge from 1937 to 1943 and was district attorney from 1943 to 1947. He became president of Naughton Farms Inc. of Waxahachie in 1950, and was involved in the organization of Trego Industries, Inc. of Red Oak.

Randle was president of the North Texas County Judges and Commissioners Association, vice president of the State County Judges and Commissioners Association, president of the Fort Worth Bar, a member of Hella Temple Shrine, and a 32nd degree Mason. He was a member of Highland Park Presbyterian Church.

Randle is survived by his wife, Adelaide Randle, 17133 Club Hill Dr., Dallas 75248; a son, attorney Stephen Graham Randle of Colorado Springs, CO; a daughter, Carol Anderson of Dallas; a sister, Mrs. Lewis Price; and five grandchildren, one of which, Jay Randle Houren, is an attorney.

W.E. Ward


Ward, an El Paso native, attended the Texas College of Mines (now the University of Texas at El Paso), and in 1939 graduated with honors from the University of Texas School of Law. He was admitted to the Texas Bar that same year.

From 1939 to 1950, with the exception of World War II, Ward practiced law with R.E. Cunningham in El Paso. From 1950 to 1955, Ward practiced law with the late Judge S.J. Isaacks in El Paso. In 1955 Ward was appointed judge of the 34th Judicial District by Gov. Allan Shivers, and in 1969 was appointed by Gov. Preston Smith to the Eighth Court of Civil Appeals, where he remained until his death.

During World War II Ward was a captain in the U.S. Army 45th Field Artillery Battalion.

Ward was president of the El Paso County School Board from 1948 to 1950, and was a member of the El Paso County and American Bar Associations. He also belonged to the El Paso Historical Society.

Ward is survived by his wife, Mary J. Ward, 416 Hollydale, El Paso 79912; two sons, Midland attorney William E. Ward, Jr. and Bradley Ward, also of Midland; one daughter, Vaughn W. Branom of Houston; one stepson, Jack Valdespino of Encino, CA; one stepdaughter, Mimi V. Thompson of El Paso; five grandchildren; and one great-grandchild.
J.W. Walsh

Joe Wayne Walsh, 53, of Brownsville died Jan. 1, 1986. Walsh earned a degree in Journalism from the University of Arkansas in 1953 and a law degree from St. Mary’s University in 1958. He was admitted to the Texas Bar in 1958.

Walsh served in the U.S. Army, Spec. 3, at Fort Hood and Fort Sam Houston from 1955 to 1956.

Walsh was assistant district attorney in Brownsville from 1959 to 1961. In 1961 he joined Col. L.G. Matthews in private practice in Brownsville, and took over the practice following Matthews’ retirement. At the time of his death, Walsh was in private practice with his son, Lawrence A. Walsh. Walsh was director of Sunrise Bank, Brownsville, from 1979 until his death.

Walsh was a member of the Cameron County Bar Association and First United Methodist Church of Brownsville. He owned a wholesale tropical plant nursery.

Walsh is survived by his wife, Lorraine B. Walsh, 2013 Palm Blvd., Brownsville 78520; a son, Lawrence A. Walsh; four daughters, Cynthia W. McCarty, Carolyn W. Birky, Cheryl W. Patterson, and Lesley Anne Walsh; his mother, Mattye Patterson, and several nieces and nephews.

N.G. Springfield


A native of Kosse, TX, Springfield was an honor graduate of the University of Texas Law School. She was admitted to the Texas Bar in 1942, and was also admitted to practice law before the Supreme Court of the United States, the United States Court of Military Appeals, and the Supreme Court of Texas.

Springfield’s military career included assignments in the Office of the Judge Advocate General, where she supervised Army legal assistance programs worldwide. She was Women’s Army Corps Staff Advisor to the Commanding General, U.S. Army, Europe, and earlier to the Commanding General, 2nd U.S. Army.

Springfield also served as assistant director of an academic training at the Army Language School, legal counsel in Dachau for war crimes trials in Germany, and legislative counsel in the Office of Legislative Liaison.

Springfield served on the national staff of Girl Scouts U.S.A. from 1962 to 1973, and held regional directive posts for the Girl Scouts in Atlanta, GA and San Mateo, CA.

Springfield was legislative chairperson of the San Antonio Mary Mount Chapter of the American Association of Retired Persons (AARP). She also was state legislative chairperson of AARP, area vice-president of the National Legislative Council in Washington, and a member of the AARP National Board of Directors. From 1981 to 1983 Springfield was a delegate to the White House Conference on Aging.

Springfield was a consultant on organization and management for the National Student Nurses Association, chairperson of the scholarship committee of the San Antonio de Bexar chapter of the Daughters of the American Revolution, and a member of the Albert Sidney Johnston Chapter of United Daughters of the Confederacy, the Retired Officers Association, and the Military Order of the World Wars.

She was a member of the Marlin United Methodist Church, where she established a trust fund for youth work in memory of her mother.

Springfield traveled throughout the world and was a collector of interesting and beautiful objects. Her last trip was to China.

Springfield is survived by four brothers, Wyche Springfield, 715 Oak Lane, Marlin 76661, Rev. Hansel Springfield of Warren, and B.F. Springfield and Oscar Springfield, both of Dallas; a sister, Ruth Patton of Wharton; and several nieces and nephews.

G.G. Rogers

Gerald Glasson Rogers, 43, of Houston died Jan. 3, 1986. Rogers was a native of Corpus Christi. Rogers received a BS in mechanical engineering in 1964 from Texas A&M University and a JD in 1971 from the University of Houston Bates School of Law. He was admitted to the Texas Bar in 1971.

Rogers was senior attorney and regional counsel for the corporate office of Dresser Industries.

Rogers served in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and received the Bronze Star for service in Viet Nam.

He was a member of the Houston Bar Association, American Bar Association, and the International Bar Association. Rogers was also a member of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers, the Texas A&M Ross Volunteers, and the Texas A&M Twelfth Man. He was a board member of the Downtown Houston YMCA Operating Committee, West University Little League, and F.U.N. Football League.

Rogers was a Rosarian and a member of St. Luke’s United Methodist Church in Houston. He was involved with youth sports and education, and enjoyed travel and running.

A scholarship fund has been established at Texas A&M in Rogers’ name and donations may be sent to the “Gerald Glasson Rogers Memorial Scholarship Fund,” payable to the Texas A&M University Development Foundation.

Rogers is survived by his wife, Jonell Yeager Rogers, 2203 Watts, Houston 77030; a son Glasson Yeager Rogers; a daughter, Price Rogers; his father, Gerald Y. Rogers of Bay St. Louis, MS; and his grandparents, John W. and Ima Lee Glasson of Corpus Christi.

Memorial Contributions Welcomed by Foundation

Contributions to the Texas Bar Foundation can memorialize deceased friends, relatives, colleagues, community leaders, or outstanding personalities in the field of law.

Donations may be made by an individual, law firm, business, or corporation.

Please send your memorial contributions to the Texas Bar Foundation, P.O. Box 12487, Capitol Station, Austin 78711.
J.W. Moore

Joseph W. Moore, 84, of Houston died Jan. 8, 1986.

Moore, a native of Austin, received his LL.B from the University of Texas and was admitted to the Texas Bar in 1923.

Moore practiced law in Houston for more than 50 years, primarily in the areas of civil litigation and oil and gas. At his death, he was of counsel to the firm of Fouts & Moore.

At the age of 41, Moore volunteered for World War II duty and served in the U.S. Army.

Moore belonged to the Houston Bar Association, and served on the association's Ethics Committee in 1936. He was director of the committee in 1940. He was also a member of the American Bar Association, River Oaks Country Club, Houston Club, Order of the "T", and Kappa Alpha Order.

Moore was a director of Cameron Iron Works and J.S. Abercrombie Mineral Company.

Moore is survived by a sister-in-law, Mrs. Fred Moore of Houston; two nieces; and three cousins.

A.S. Brown

Judge Archie S. Brown, 73, of San Antonio died March 13, 1985.

A San Antonio native, Brown attended Texas A&M University and the University of Texas. He received his LL.B and JD from St. Mary's University and was admitted to the Texas Bar in 1936.

Brown was in private practice from 1936 to 1939, and served as Bexar County district attorney from 1939 to 1942. After serving in the U.S. Navy during World War II, Brown resumed private practice. In 1959 he was elected judge of the 144th District Court, Bexar County, where he remained until 1974. From 1975 to 1977 Brown was a special commissioner of the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals.

Brown was president of the Alamo Area Council of Boy Scouts, 1954; King Antonio of San Antonio, 1955; a trustee of St. Mary's Law School, 1950 to 1959; and a law instructor at St. Mary's, 1947 to 1974.

Brown was a member of the American Bar Association in 1958; vice-chairman and reporter, State Bar Committee on Revision of the Penal Code; vice-chairman, State Bar Section on Criminal Law and Procedure; faculty advisor, National College of State Trial Judges, 1969 to 1972; chairman, Bexar County Legal Aid Association Bail Bond Committee, Night Magistrate Board, Bexar County Bail Bond Board, and State Bar Committee on Penal Code Pattern Changes; presiding judge, State Criminal Courts of Bexar County, Jan. 1, 1970 to July 1, 1971 and Jan. 1, 1973 to July 1, 1973; and judge, Bexar County Juvenile Court.

Brown was a member of the American Bar Association, the American Judicature Society, the Federal-State Judicial Council, Annual Seminar on Crimes and Corrections Planning Committee, and the Advisory Committee to Alcoholic Safety Action Project. He was president of the St. Mary's University Ex-Student Association from 1963 to 1964, and belonged to St. Mark's Episcopal Church.

Brown also belonged to the Texas Caveliers, San Antonio German Club, San Antonio Country Club, and Sigma Chi fraternity.

Brown is survived by his wife, Margaret T. Brown, 185 Terrell Rd., No. 604, San Antonio 78209; a son, attorney Dick Terrell Brown; two daughters, Anne Brown Crutchfield and Juliet Brown Cosgrove; and three grandchildren.

B.M. Levy


Levy received his law degree from the University of Texas in 1968 and was admitted to the Texas Bar that same year. He practiced law in Austin from 1968 to 1970, and in San Antonio from 1970 until his death.

Levy was active in civic affairs and was on the board of the National Conference of Christians and Jews. He was also involved with San Antonio Leadership 1984, the Jewish Social Service Federation of San Antonio (recipient of the federation's 1982 Young Leadership Award), and the Real Estate Advisory Council of San Antonio Junior College. Levy was named Boss of the Year by the San Antonio Secretaries Association.

Levy was a member of Temple Beth El. He enjoyed being with his family, fishing, tennis, jogging, traveling, and real estate investment.

Levy is survived by his wife, Heidi Levy of San Antonio; a son, Brian Levy; a daughter, Jennifer Levy; a brother, Steve Levy; one niece; and one nephew.

In Memoriam

These notices are published immediately after reports of death are received. Memorials will be published later.

BURAURLE, FRANCIS J., Dallas, Oct. 1, 1986
BOONE, ROBERT E., Georgetown, Oct. 15, 1986
BUSHONG, JACK L., San Antonio, Sept. 26, 1986
COLLIER, JAMES E., Colorado Springs, CO, Oct. 9, 1986
EDMANN, KRAFT W., Houston, Oct. 19, 1986
GROSSHOLZ, PAUL G., Houston, Aug. 23, 1986
HERRERA, JOHN J., Houston, Oct. 12, 1986
JACKSON, JOHN N., Dallas, Sept. 22, 1986
MAYS, EUGENE D., Baton Rouge, LA, May 16, 1986
MCINERNEY, WILLIAM L., Dallas, Oct. 16, 1986
PATERNO, SAMUEL B., Dallas, June 26, 1986
PHILLIPS, JON TY, Colleyville, Aug. 20, 1986
SOLSBERY, ALEX D., Roswell, NM, July 6, 1986
WILLIAMS, JAMES A., Blanco, Oct. 14, 1986
FOR SALE:

FOR SALES: BEAUMONT ESTABLISHED LAW PRACTICE, COMPLETE — Practice includes, commercial, corporate, probate estates, family law, trial litigation. Building with or without library and all equipment. Some offices in building presently leased to tenants. Attorney wants to retire after 34 years. Respond to Box-holder 6415.


LANIER WORD PROCESSING EQUIPMENT — Three Lanier Super No Problem Word Processors with all related software, three letter quality printers and three acoustic covers. All equipment in excellent condition and under Lanier Maintenance Agreement from time of purchase. Call or write Martin, Showers & Smith, 817/582-2536, P.O. Box 257, Hillsboro 76645.

Vernon's Annotated Statutes, Codes, and Rules, in new condition and supplemented to date. No collect calls please. Call 214/320-0287.

WANT TO BUY

ALL TEXAS MATERIAL: U.S. Supreme Court Reporters; Federal Rules Decisions; Couch or Appleman on Insurance; West Bankruptcy Reporter; Federal Supplement; Federal Reporter 2d; Rocky Mountain Mineral Law. TEXAS LAW BOOK COMPANY buys, sells and appraises law books. 427 N. Milam, Seguin 78155. Call 512/372-5900.

ESTATE SALE — New Jasper Century Series One Hundred desk, Judge's Chair, 10-foot conference table with seven leather swivel chairs; CIS - $1,200; USCA - $1,200; miscellaneous. Send inquiries to 3212 Rolling Knoll, Dallas 75234.

FOR SALE:


LANIER WORD PROCESSING EQUIPMENT — Three Lanier Super No Problem Word Processors with all related software, three letter quality printers and three acoustic covers. All equipment in excellent condition and under Lanier Maintenance Agreement from time of purchase. Call or write Martin, Showers & Smith, 817/582-2536, P.O. Box 257, Hillsboro 76645.

Vernon's Annotated Statutes, Codes, and Rules, in new condition and supplemented to date. No collect calls please. Call 214/320-0287.

OFFICE SPACE


HOUSTON — Prestigious and attractive location near downtown available for one lawyer at small, supportive law firm. Space includes tasteful reception room, secretarial suite, use of extensive library, sophisticated telephone system, copying machine, kitchen, and ample parking. Call Shannon T. Warren at 713/523-0420 or Victor Makris at 713/526-9919.

ARLINGTON — Custom, executive finished offices. 2800 or 5600 square feet. Executive offices, private secretarial offices, conference rooms, library rooms, exercise room with shower, kitchen facilities, computer room, carpeted offices, tile hall and reception. Telephone system optional. Lease or sale. Furnished or unfurnished. Easy access, ample parking. Call 817/277-2181 or 817/277-7351.

WEST HOUSTON — Office sharing with association of attorneys in complex off Katy Freeway at Eldridge. Large or small window offices (view of downtown) with library, conference room, copier, kitchen, microwave, and sink. Includes telephone, parking, secretarial/receptionist services. Call Michael or Leigh at 713/558-8989.

HOUSTON/Downtown Area — Offices available near courthouse. Approx. 4,800 square feet; owner occupied building. Third floor corner suite. Call for appointment at 713/526-9284.

Moving?

Please print your new address here:

Name: ____________________________
Bar Card No. ________________
Firm Name: ____________________________

□ Office Address:__________________________
city ____________________________ state __________ zip __________

□ Home Address: ____________________________
city ____________________________ state __________ zip __________

(Please indicate which address you prefer to use for State Bar mail.)
INTENTIONAL BLANK
INTENTIONAL BLANK
ATTORNEY WANTED

LITIGATION ASSOCIATE — Excellent academic credentials and teaching experience. Send resume to Box-holder 6402.

MEDIUM-SIZED HOUSTON FIRM — Medium-sized has two openings for highly motivated, licensed attorneys and law-related jobs with the U.S. Government and other public/private employers in Washington, D.C., throughout the U.S. and abroad. Salary: $50 — $60,000. Contact: Robert R. Smith, P.O. Box 271972, Houston 77227-1972.

SMALL HOUSTON FIRM — Small Houston insurance defense firm seeks associate with one to three years’ experience in tort and commercial litigation. Excellent academic credentials required. Reply to Box-holder 6407.

McAllen AV-rated firm with 24 attorneys is seeking associates with academic credentials and professional references as follows: one associate with two years’ experience in personal injury cases. Salary commensurate with experience. Modest-sized, AV-rated law firm in Corpus Christi. Send resume to Box-holder 6445.

HUTCHESON & GRUNDY, an established Houston firm, seeks associates with two to four years of experience in the following areas for its Houston office: business and banking, commercial litigation and bankruptcy and reorganization. Excellent academic and professional credentials are required for these expanding areas of the firm’s practice. Compensation commensurate with ability and experience. Send confidential resumes to Hutcheson & Grundy, 3300 Citicorp Center, 1200 Smith, Houston 77002.

MEDIUM-SIZED, AV-RATED, DOWNTOWN FORT WORTH FIRM — Medium-sized, AV-rated, downtown Fort Worth firm seeks associate with zero to four years’ experience. Experience in the areas of corporate, real estate, litigation, and natural resources law helpful, but not essential. Quality academic background or major law firm practice experience required. Compensation commensurate with qualifications. Send resume and experience details in confidence to Box-holder 6474.

AUSTIN FIRM — Aggressive downtown firm seeks with one to four years’ experience in business defense litigation. Outstanding academic credentials required. Unique opportunity for maximum client contact. Respond to Box-holder 6178.


ATTORNEYS NEEDED: Oklahoma litigation law firm establishes three branch offices in Dallas, Fort Worth, and Houston. Immediate openings for highly motivated, licensed attorneys with zero to five years’ experience, to practice criminal, personal injury law, etc. Seek three immediate partners, plus three associates. Partners are salaried plus percentage, associates salaried. Call Phyllis Harmon at 405/843-3700.

HOUSTON SEVEN-ATTORNEY FIRM — Houston seven-attorney firm seeks associates with two to five years’ experience in business litigation. Send resume to Box-holder 6236.

DOWNTOWN DALLAS AV-RATED LITIGATION FIRM — Downtown Dallas AV-rated litigation and business firm seeks litigation associate with one to three years’ experience. Excellent academic credentials and professional references required. Compensation commensurate with ability and experience. Reply to Texas Bar Journal, Box-holder 6464.
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The Erudite Judge, The Tactful Judge, And The Judge Of Considerable Experience

By Judge Jerry Buchmeyer

Judges should, of course, be thorough and tactful and attentive—as illustrated by three forensic fables by "O": The Erudite Judge and the Question of Doubtful Admissibility; The Tactful Magistrate and the Much Respected Colleague; and The Judge Who Closed His Eyes.

The Erudite Judge considered himself Rather Hot Stuff and, at the slightest opportunity, would "Enrich the Law Reports with a judgment in which he discussed All the Authorities, Exposed the Fallacies of Deceased Members of the Bench, and Generally Cleared Things Up for Posterity." During one trial, the plaintiff's counsel asked the witness what the Charwoman had said when the witness told her the plaintiff had fallen over the pail on the stairs. Naturally, the Erudite Judge directed the witness not to answer the question—and, after a Protracted Argument, adjourned so he might Consider the Matter Fully.

The results:

"The Next Day the Erudite Judge Loosed Off a Splendid Bit of Work. No Aspect of the Law of Evidence was Left Untouched. Beginning with the Pandects of Justinian, the Erudite Judge Took his Hearers through the Canon Law and the Year-Books, and thus Traced to its Source the Doctrine of the Inadmissibility of Hearsay Evidence. By Eleven Forty-Five the Erudite Judge had Got to Whitelock v. Baker (13 Ves. 514), Declarations by Deceased Persons, Inscriptions on Tombstones, and the Facts Properly to be Regarded as Res Gestae. At Long Last, when the Stenographers were Shewing Signs of Exhaustion, the Erudite Judge Reached the Conclusion that the Question was Admissable."

"The Witness having Returned to the Box Counsel for the Plaintiff Once More Enquired: 'What did the Charwoman Say when you Told her that the Plaintiff had Fallen Over the Pail on the Stairs?'

"The Witness Replied that the Charwoman hadn't said Nothing. He Added that he wasn't surprised, which the Charwoman was as Deaf as a Post."

The Tactful Judge, who had dined Very Comfortably the night before with a Much-Respected Colleague, convened court to deal with the Business of the Day. To his surprise, the first defendant was his Host of Last Night—who had continued his Merry-Making into the Wee Hours and had been arrested with other Drunk-and-Disorderlies. The situation was Distinctly Awkward, particularly since the Much-Respected Colleague had given his real name and address. However:

"The Tactful Judge did not Lose his Head. Sternly Addressing the Culprit as John Marmaduke Bundlepump (a Name which Occurred to him on the Spur of the Moment), he told the Much-Respected Colleague that his Attempt to Conceal his Identity, Based as it was upon a Superficial Facial Resemblance to a Public Servant of Unblemished Reputation, was as Mean as it was Dishonest; and that in All the Circumstances he Could not Inflict a Smaller Penalty than a Fine of Ten Pounds. The Defendant must also Pay the Doctor's Fee. He Hoped it would be a Warning. It was."

The Judge of Considerable Experience was trying a Case of Unexampled Dullness. The plaintiff's counsel opened by droning a multitude of authorities, including the well-known decision of the House of Lords in The Overseers of the Parish of Criggleswick v. The Mudbank-Super-Mare Docks & Harbour Board Trustees & Others. The Judge, who had never been So Bored in his whole Professional Life, fell into a Gentle Doze which soon developed into a Profound Sleep. Suddenly, he was aroused by the cries of "Silence" with which the bailiff precluded the Coming Judgment: the case was over and the Judge had Absolutely No Notion of what the counsel for the defendant had argued. Was the Judge dismayed?

"Not at all. He Assumed a Look of Lively Intelligence and Said that, as he had Formed a Clear Opinion, no Useful
Purpose would be Served by His Reserving his Judgment. He Admitted that During the Course of the Excellent Arguments which had been Addressed to him His Opinion had Wavered. But, After All, the Broad Question was whether the Principle so Clearly Stated in the House of Lords in The Overseers of the Parish of Criggleswick v. The Mudbank-Super-Mare Docks and Harbour Board Trustees & Others Applied to the Facts of the Present Case. On the Whole, despite the Forceful Observations Made on Behalf of the Defendants, to which he had Paid the Closest Attention, he Touched it Did. It was Therefore Unnecessary that he Should Discuss a Variety of Topics which, in the View he Took Became Irrelevant. There would, accordingly, be Judgment for the Plaintiffs, with Costs; but, as the Matter was One of Great Public Interest, there would be a Stay of Execution on the Usual Terms. The Judgment, which was Appealed Against in Due Course, was Affirmed both in the Court of Appeal and the House of Lords; the Lord Chancellor Commenting, in the latter Tribunal, on the Admirably Succinct Manner in which the Experienced Judge had Dealt with a Complicated and Difficult Problem.4

Coming Next Month:
The Witnesses

1. Judges should also furrow their brows a lot during trial (brow-furrowing being a required course at the school for new federal judges conducted by the Judicial Center in Washington, D.C.).


3. Although not as fully as the trial judge in a sodomy case, North Carolina v. Crouse, 22 N.C. App. 47 (N.C. 1974): “Defendant brings forward numerous assignments of error directed to the court’s instructions to the jury. Again, as did in State v. Gray, 21 N.C. App. 63, 203 S.E.2d 88, the trial judge gave the jury his understanding of the 18th and 19th Charters of the First Book of Moses, called Genesis. He also recited some of the statutory history of the act with which the defendant was charged and the genealogy of English royalty. Although the Judge’s monologue was inappropriate, we do not believe the error was prejudicial to the defendant.

4. Sleeping Judges in Texas need not recover so quickly—since there is authority that sleeping during trial is harmless error unless the complaining attorney can prove just when you went to sleep. In Jackson v. State (May 1982), the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals rejected the claim that Jackson’s conviction for resisting arrest should be reversed because the trial judge “had fallen asleep during the trial and did not hear an objection by the defense attorney.” Although it was conceded that “the trial judge did momentarily lapse into a subconscious state,” the record did not reflect when this occurred—whether “immediately prior to the objection being made or at some [other] point.” The Court realistically concluded: “Though such judicial conduct can never be condoned, nevertheless, given the proper facts, it may be understandable.” (Dallas Morning News, May 20, 1982)
Index to Ethics Opinions

From time to time your General Counsel's Office receives requests for ethics opinions regarding different areas of the law. It became obvious that a need existed to publish an overall index of all ethics opinions previously issued by the Professional Ethics Committee of the Supreme Court of Texas. Accordingly, what follows in this issue of the Bar Journal is an index of those opinions published to date. Also published is a list of sources where those ethics opinions may be located for reading and review.

I am very grateful to Linda Acevedo and Karen Jones of the General Counsel's Office for putting together this index to ethics opinions.

Ethics opinions are also available through the Professional Development Department Opinions Service at the State Bar headquarters.

For information about ordering ethics opinions refer to the Texas Lawyer's Civil or Criminal Weekly Digests or call 512/463-1403.

Steve Peterson
State Bar General Counsel
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Advancing funds to clients, 230. See also Expenses
Adverse party. See Opposing party
Advertising. See also Law lists; Name, use of; Solicitation of business; Specialization
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announcements
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  carrying associates not licensed to practice, 50, 64
  carrying names of deceased relatives, 31
  information allowed on, generally, 77, 119, 198, 291, 305, 318, 390, 406, 436
  lawyers not licensed to practice in Texas, 406
  military law, 424
  non-associates listed as "of counsel", 402
  use of emblems, 284
  newspapers, 51, 52, 164
  client's identifying attorney in, 283
  letter, 273
  restricted to sections used as legal directories, 4, 7, 25, 29
  nonlegal business of attorney, 91, 186, 194, 221, 249
  non profit or nonbusiness purposes, 247
  notice of agencies or courts before which attorney is licensed to practice, 8
  notices of special competence—or experience, restricted to matters in certain legal areas, 15, 112, 222
  notices soliciting claims for estates, 75
  on office envelopes, 175
  political, 103, 165, 340
  postage-meter machine slogans, 121
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Banks. See also Funds of clients, Lending institutions; Trusts

Bail bonds

Attorney liens. 395, 411

Attorney-client privilege. See also Confidences of clients.

Assumed name. See Name, use of

Appeals. See also Convicted defendants; Disbarment threat of

Announcements. See Advertising

Ambulance chasing. See Recommendation of professional

Alderman. See also Local government municipalities

Aiding in unauthorized practice of law. See Unauthorized practice.

Alderman. See also Local government municipalities

Ambulance chasing. See Recommendation of professional employment; Solicitation of business

Announcements. See Advertising

Appeals. See also Convicted defendants; Disbarment threat of additional prosecution to discourage, 365

Associates. See Corporate law firms; Partnerships

Assumed name. See Name, use of

Attorney-client privilege. See also Confidences of clients.

client withholding evidence of crime, 193

contracts, 203

ethical duty and evidentiary rule, 389

exceptions to crime, 204

as defense of wrongful conduct accusations, 9

past crime of client, 353

protection of secrets following termination of employment, 389

requested disclosures by government agencies, 378, 384

waiver of, 378

with regard to wills, 55

Attorney liens. 395, 411

Bail bonds

appointment as attorney-in-fact for surety company, 248

attorney as surety for client in criminal case, 141, 251, 347

compensation from bond company for referrals, 351

financial or business interest in, 366

practice of criminal law, 141

withdrawal from, after previously posting criminal bond for client, 388

Banks. See also Funds of clients, Lending institutions; Trusts acting as executor under reciprocal wills, 216

acting as trust officers, 177, 262, 336

requiring customer use of designated attorney, 228

representing client against bank when holding co. which owns bank also owns another bank which firm represents, 423

use of attorney letterhead for collection letters, 160

Bar associations. See also Admission to practice; bar membership Grievance Committee, State Bar of Texas; Unauthorized Practice Committee advertising by, 95

establishment of lawyer referral services, 205, 371

distribution of educational pamphlets, 239

law lists, statement as to areas of practice in, 370

Bartering, may attorney join barter associations, 410

Brochures by attorneys, 420

Business cards of legal assistants, 403

Campaign contributions, 328. See also Political contributions

Candor. See also Communications

advising to seek counsel, 18

copies of briefs submitted to court also to be given opposing counsel, 88

misstatement of residence facts, 189

pleadings, communications with opposite party, 337

Chamber of Commerce directories, 237, 277

membership in, 237

City attorneys. See also Local government. Public Office

private practice of law by, 49, 184

Class actions

contacting members of class affected, acceptance of employment thereby, 376

Client files, 395, 411

Clients. See also Attorney-client privilege; Authority of attorney; Candor; Communications; Employment; Fees, Funds of Clients; Indigents; Judgment of Lawyers

disagreement between two clients, 288

providing representation to members of non-profit association, 397

Collection of fees. See Fees

Collections, 92

letters, 279, 380

employment of lawyer and his legal assistant, 401

collections agencies lawyer ownership and representation, 417

Communications. See also Candor; Judges, Juries; Opposing parties; between the actual parties concerning the controversy, 339

by attorney and opposing parties, 17, 57, 78, 117, 130, 201, 335, 355, 358

physical examinations, 139, 229, 235, 358

collection letters to debtors, 279, 380

with jurors, 257, 278

between one accused of crime and district attorney, 137, 226

by attorney with someone who already has counsel, 395

Compensation. See also Fees; Fee splitting

as attorney for an estate when also acting as executor, 269

for recommendation of insurance plans to clients, 264

from referrals to bonding company, 351

from third parties, 166

Concealment

of client charged with crime, 315

Confidences of clients. See also Attorney-client privilege;

Clients prohibition against disclosure scope, federal investigations, 105

revealing in suit for fees, 341

suits against party attorney previously represented, 180

disclosure of confidential matters, 389

Conflicts of interest. See also Intermediaries; Judgment of lawyer; Loyalty, duty of; Multiple clients

attorney’s sharing office, 259
avoiding potential, 111
bank holding company problem, 423
breach of contract suits, 176
collections agencies-lawyer ownership and representation, 417
county attys-partner’s and associates may not represent
criminal defendants, 419
county attorneys, 23, 296
dealings with local governments and officials, 65, 197, 252, 272, 274
delinquent tax attorney’s, 281, 295
disagreements between two clients, 288
divorce cases, 294
employment as bank trust officer, 262
ing handling of wills and estates, 36, 47, 49, 66, 71, 74, 182, 269
insurance: Stower’s case, 308
insurer and insured, 21, 89, 99, 156, 179, 260, 359
letters to opposing party advice in, 34
membership on a zoning board of adjustment, 274
partnership, assumed when lawyers sharing office, 259
member representing local governing body or official, 65, 197, 272
member serving as public attorney, 37, 113, 124, 187, 243, 307, 318, 323, 367
members holding judicial office, 1, 148, 173, 325
members representing opposing parties, 99, 104
in partnership dispute, 219
practicing law while serving as court clerk, 1
probation officer acting as defense counsel, 327
prosecutors as witnesses in criminal cases, 399
real estate transactions, 228
representing and suing same client, 123
representing both parties in negligence tort case, 43
representing former guardian of an estate, 115
representation of legal guardian of minor and minor’s
father, 314
private practice by member of Tex. St. Highway Co., 232
retirement from public or judicial employment, 124, 243, 325
suing a client while representing him in another case, 298, 299
workman’s compensation
disclosure when retained by employer, 18
negotiations with insurance adjuster without opposing
counsel consent, 297
representing claimant who is adversary in damage suit, 317
representing employee and employer in related mishap, 244
Consent of client
for disclosure of confidences, 193
Contingent fees. See Fees
Contract of employment
with municipalities, 58
Convicted defendants
voluntary representation to show unjust treatment, 240
Corporate law firms. See also Law firms; Partnerships
formed to collect delinquent accounts owed to lawyers, 149
rendering services to parent, subsidiary, or other closely
related corporations, 343
restrictive covenants between firm and associates, 421
revealing misconduct of officers, 387
use of corporate name on calling card, 268
County attorneys. See also Local government; Prosecuting
attorneys; Public office
criminal practice by member of family, 323
district attorney representation of another attorney in DA’s
office, 430
employment as special prosecutor, 126
practice after retirement, 243
practice of law while serving as, 23, 48, 183, 217, 296, 309
practicing of criminal law by county attorney’s law partners,
37, 187, 243, 318, 323, 419
Court clerks, 1
division of fees by, 1
practicing law while serving as, 1
Criminal conduct. See also Discipline of lawyer, Felony
convictions; Misconduct
duty to reveal information as to, 204
 DAMAGES
pleading amount in petition, 415
Deceased lawyer. See also Advertising
use of name by law firm, 31, 185, 375
Defense against accusation by client
disclosure of confidences and secrets, 9
Defense, criminal
attorney acting as surety for client’s bond, 140
by former prosecutor, 345
not required to disclose fatal defect in indictment, 131
prosecutor as witness, 399
Degrees
display of, 350
use of titles “Doctor,” “Dr.” and “J.D.,” 344
nonlegal in lawyer ads, 418
Delegation of tasks
signing of letters by legal assistant, 381
Derogatory references
insults between attorneys, 20
Directories
attorney may list name, 416
Discipline of lawyer
ill feeling and insults between advocates, 20
Discharge
refusal to withdraw, 395
Disclosure, lawyer’s duty of. See also Attorney-client
privilege; Intermediaries conflicts of interest
representing both insured and insurer, 156
to claimant of workmen’s compensation case
when retained by employer, 18
when interviewing potential witnesses, 17
to client of settlement offers, 396
to opposing counsel of communications with client, 22
Division of legal fees. See Fee splitting
Divorce
affirmative defense of condonation, 214
conflicting interest, 294
contingent fees, 292
“kits” provided for self-representation by client, 364
withholding information in cases, 33
Dual employment. See also Advertising; Employment
concurrent practice of law and accounting, 136
identification in advertisements of, 24
joint occupancy of offices, 275
notice of, in letterheads, 119
ownership of abstract company, 196
participation in, 92
practicing criminal law concurrently with bail bond
business, 141
Education
programs to aid laymen recognize legal problems, 394
promotion of, 394
public on taxation in newspaper column, 425
Employees of law office. See also Office management
division of fees with, 153
employment by collection agency which also employs
attorney, 401
inclusion of stationery letterhead, 381, 390, 436
legal assistants using firm name on business cards, 403
names on door signs, 426, 437
task delegation
signing of letters by legal assistant, 381

Employment. See also Association of counsel; Contract of employment; Corporations; Recommendation of business; withdrawal from employment; acceptance of client already represented, 159, 172, 395
by collections agency which also employs lawyer's assistant, 401
by former judicial or public official, 100, 162
substitute counsel, 395
warrantless claims or defenses, 271
by accounting firm, 128
appointment of attorney ad litem, 69
bartering, 410, 435
contract of basis for refusal to withdraw, 395
legislators, 257
practicing law while serving as a judge, 13, 16
soliciting of strangers in same class as those of unsolicited clients, 19
submission of bids for, 146

Estate Planning
attorney participation in lay-scheme, 373

Estates
conflicts of interest in representing, 66, 74, 158, 269
notices of creditors, 221
publishing notices for claims against, 75
representing former guardian of an estate, 115

Evidence
interviewing witnesses and obtaining statements, 342
suppression of, 193

Executors. See also Estates
acceptance of referral fee from executor employing lawyer for estate, 301
additionally acting as attorney for estate, 269
appointment as independent executor and representation of all devises, 147
client naming lawyer as, 71
division of fees between co-executors, 293

Expenses. See also Fees
advances to attorney, 391
advances to client, 106

Fees. See also Compensation; Expenses
advance payment by client, 391
bartering, 410, 435
collection of
acquiring liens to secure, 395
forming and operating corporation for collection of delinquent accounts, 149
securing judgment for, in same suit in which lawyer is representing client, 374
contingent arrangements
damage claims subrogated by insurer, 89
payment to disbarred attorney, 432
divorce cases, 292
fixing of, by judge when attorney is related to the judge, 135
controversy or disputes, 374
credit cards used for payment, 349
forwarding, 238
illegal, 310
indigent criminal defense, 348
interest, 409
minimum schedules and economic reports of bar charging
less than, evidence of unethical solicitation, 311
payment by third persons, by opposite party, 166
retainer agreements, 27, 231, 391, 409, 431
salary basis, employment, 167
suing client for a fee, 341
title insurance premiums to attorneys representing parties to real estate transactions, 408
worker's compensation settlements, 310
with clients charged with Class "C" misdemeanor, 433

Fee splitting. See also Fees
attorney and bondsman, 142
with attorney serving as court clerk, 1
between co-executors, 293
compensation from bonding company for referrals, 351
executors and estate's lawyer, 301
forwarding lawyers, 63
with laymen, 63, 153, 231
public officer and private practitioner, 172, 309
ventures outside practice of law, 61

Files of client. See also Office management
delivery of to client, 395

Foreign law firms
opening offices in Texas, 407

Fraudulent conduct
in making of wills, 152
verification of false pleadings, 405

Free legal services. See Fees; Legal aid offices

Funds of client
advance payment of fees or expenses, 391
commingling of, 245
determining nature and ownership of funds, 391, 404
general handling of, 391
interest on trust accounts, 404, 421
refunding of prepaid fees not earned, 391
separate trust accounts, 391

Garnishment, 86

Gifts
given to solicitor business, 316

Group and prepaid legal services
nonprofit associations, 397

Guardian
representing former guardians of an estate, 115

Homesteads, 39

Illegal conduct
of client, 204

Impartiality of tribunal. See also Tribunals; Communications
relational influences on judges, 35, 135, 173

Indigent clients. See also Legal aid offices
advertising free advice to, 223
funds for defending, 348

Insults. See Derogatory references

Insurance. See also Conflicts of interest
accepting fees for recommending company policies to clients, 264
claims adjusters
gifts to, or other representatives of companies, 316
negotiations with, absent consent of dependent, 297
conflict of interest in defending worker's compensation claims, 18, 229, 317, 326
employment as attorney for insurance companies also representing insured, 21, 156, 260
employment by insurance company
title insurance company, 359
Stower's case, 156, 179, 308
subrogation suits, 99, 202, 260
worker's compensation settlement and attorney fees, 310

Integrity of the profession
ill feeling and insults between advocates, 20

Interest
attorney retainer agreement providing for, 409
from client trust accounts, 404, 421

Intermediaries
charitable adoption home requiring use of specific firm, 215
client providing office for attorney, 231
employment by companies on salary basis, 167
employment by, to perform services, 178
group legal services, 346
between insured and insurer, 21, 260
legal aid departments of unions, 41
lending institution requiring use of designated attorney, 228
lending institutions, 150
in loan transactions, 150
referrals from lay estate planning business, 373

Intervention by another attorney, 159

Interview
of employees of an adversary party, 342
with opposing party represented by counsel, 17, 352
with potential witness, 17

Investments
by law firm in loan company, 211
IOLTA, 421

Judges
avoidance of impropriety
kinship or influence, 35, 135, 173
campaign contributions to, 328
cards, professional, 354
conduct with regard to declaratory judgments, 47
hospitality to, by individual attorney, 206
law partner who is serving before, 173
loans to, 256
name in partnership, 173
private practice by
city judges, 108, 116
county judges, 13, 45, 151, 183, 220
disqualification, 173
district judges, 207
justice of the peace, 16, 125
public criticism of, 369
retired
representation by former judge or law partner in matters previously dealt with by judge, 100, 325

Judgment of lawyer
third-person influences on,
landlords, 377

Jury
communications with
after trial, 26, 257, 278

Knowledge of intended crime, 204

Landmen, 114

Law firms. See also Corporate law firms; Names; Partnerships
acceptance of client after partner or associate withdraws from the case
associates or partners not licensed to practice, 50, 64
charitable institution requiring employment of a designated firm, 215
disagreements between two clients, 288
foreign firms opening offices in Texas, 407
maintenance of offices in more than one city or state, 64, 319
member serving as county attorney, 23, 37, 187, 195
member serving as judge, 100, 173, 195
use of trade names, 398
out of state partnership using same name in Texas, 400
restrictive covenants between firm and associates, 421, 422
partners representing opposing parties, 59, 103
use of trade names, 398

Law lists. See also Advertising
local bar association, 370
number of representative clients listed, 362
attorney may list name, 416

Lawyer referral service
advertising by, 205

approved only when sponsored by bar, 371
establishment by bar group, 205

Laymen. See also Unauthorized practice of law on staff of
district attorney, 144
Lease agreements, 377
Legal aid offices
operated by a labor union, 41

Legal assistant. See Employees of law office

Legal opinions
preparation for organization of public employees re:
employment dispute, 412

Legal publication. See Advertising; Publications
Legislators. See also Public office
legal employment of, 162, 257
retirement from public employment, 162
use of designation on professional cards or in directories, 11, 333

Lending institutions. See also Banks
attorneys as officers or directors of, 188
investments in/by attorney, 211
referring clients to, 211
representing, 228, 359
requiring loan papers be prepared by certain law firm, 150, 228
use of attorney letterheads for collections of debts, 160
Letterheads. See Advertising
Liens
attorney’s, 395, 411

Limitation of practice. See Specialization

Living expense of client
advances for, 106

Loans
to judges, 256

Local government. See also County attorneys
aldermen, 82
city attorneys, 49, 184, 233
city councilmen or commissioners, 197, 382
county commissioner’s court, 252
part-time city judge/practicing attorney, should not
represent person whose city police are witness, 429
dealing with, as opposing party, 233
mayors, 272, 382
representing clients before governmental bodies, 65, 274
zoning boards, 274

Loyalty to client
avoiding conflicts of interest
workman’s compensation claims and attorney retained by employer, 18
protection of client interests, 374

Marketing of legal services. See Advertising

Mass Mailings
attorney’s printed brochures, 420
direct mail advertising v. solicitation, 414
solicitation of wills through mail, 413

Mayor. See also Local government; Municipalities
practice by law partner of, before city, 272
practice of law by, 382

Medical examinations
of defendant, ordered by prosecuting attorney, 87
demand or request for physical examination, 139, 229, 235

Minors and incompetents
representing legal guardian and minor’s father, 314

Misrepresentation, 189

Multiple clients. See also Conflict of interest

duty to withdraw or decline employment
representing both insurer and insured, 21

Municipalities. See also Local government
correspondence, 58
practice of law by public officials of, 282, 429
representing clients before city boards and councils, 274

Names
assumed, 324
of deceased partner, 185, 375
of firms, 319, 400
pseudonym, 434
trade names, 398

Newspapers. See also Advertising: News release
advertising in
generally, 51, 120, 188, 192, 218, 223
in sections used as legal directory, 4, 7, 25, 29, 52
articles concerning success of particular attorney, 164
educating public on taxation, 425
letters to, 273

Nonlawyers. See Laymen

Nonprofit association
providing legal services for members, 397

Of Counsel
non-associates listed on law firm's letterhead as, 402

Opinions
preparation for organization of public employees re:
employment dispute, 412

Opposing party
attorney communications with
represented by counsel, 17, 57, 78, 99, 352, 355, 358
through plaintiff's petition, 337
unrepresented by counsel, 130, 201, 335
client communications with, 339
communications with employees of, 342
communications with insurance adjustor of, 297
municipalities as
communications with, 233
requests to, for physical examinations, 139, 229, 235, 358
taking statements of, 117

Partnership. See also Conflicts of interest
accounting firms, 128, 136
deceased member
use of name, 185, 287, 375
duty of partner to reject employment, 23, 37, 313
formation with layman, 186
holding out as, 67, 263
between member of bar and out-of-state lawyer, 227
partners holding judicial or public office, 23, 37, 100, 173,
187, 195, 197, 272
rental of office-sharing facilities of lawyer and nonlawyer
business, 270
use of restrictive covenants between firm and associates,
422

Physical examinations. See Medical examinations

Pleadings
damage amount plead in petition, 415
offers to settle in, 213
reference to insurance settlement negotiations in plaintiff's
petition, 337
verification of false pleadings, 405

Political activities. See also Public office

Power of attorney
acting as defense counsel, 327

Property
of client
attorney's lien with respect to, 395
homesteads, 39

Prosecuting attorneys. See also County attorneys
as witnesses, 399
conflict resulting from prior private employment, 113
continued membership in a firm, 132

duty to seek justice, 137
eliciting statements of pleas from accused, 137
medical examinations of defendant, 87
practice by laymen on staff, 144
private practice by, 109, 143, 183, 312, 332
after retirement from public employment, 124, 345, 367
member of firm, 113, 307
prosecution of those accused of crime
interviews by county attorney shortly after arrest, 226
Publications. See also Advertising
acknowledging contributions of lawyer in, 110
emphasizing own experience in,
advertisements, 2, 164
giving legal advice in, 12
law reviews, identification of contributing authors, 212
offer of free legal advice to subscriber in published article, 12
Public employees
preparation of legal opinion for, 412
Public office. See also County attorneys, Judges, Legislators,
Local government; Prosecuting attorneys
announcements of withdrawal from, 14
campaigning for, 103, 165, 340
employment after leaving,
advocating public interest, 212
deserving of public office, 182
incumbent, 220, 389
non-associates listed on law firm's letterhead as, 402
providing legal services for members, 397
public facilities and materials used for private practice, 356
publicity. See Advertising; Trial publicity
Public defender's office. See Legal aid offices

Publicity. See Advertising; Trial publicity

Public prosecutor. See City attorney; County attorney,
Prosecuting attorney

Public statements
criticism of judge after trial, 369

Real estate transactions
attorney accepting part of title insurance premium as fee, 408
same attorney for both parties, 228

Reasonable fee. See Fees

Recommendation of professional employment. See also
Solicitation of business

Referrals. See also Advertising

Rules of civil procedure
habitual and intentional violations, 415
Sale of law practice as a going business, 266

Secretary of lawyer. See Employees of law office

Secrets of client. See Attorney-client privilege; Confidences of
client

Seeking of clients. See Advertising; Solicitation of business
Self-representation,

Settlement
disclosure of offers of, to client, 396
with insurance adjustor without defense attorney's consent,
297
negotiating directly with opposing party represented by counsel, 57, 78, 99, 163, 170
unrepresented by counsel, 97, 101

Signs
neon, 38, 47

Solicitation of business. See also Advertising; Lawyer referral service; Recommendation of professional employment accepting fees for recommendation of insurance policies to clients, 264
advancing funds to client, 106, 230
from another lawyer, 161, 161
attorney as subject of news articles, 164
bartering, 410, 435
business client’s suggestions to its clients that they use particular attorney, 228, 300
charging fees less than those in minimum fee schedule, evidence of, 311
in class-action suit, 376
contacting jurors after trial, 257
contacting of injured employees by union lawyer, 41
contacting of injured employees by union lawyer, 41
indirect, 246
display of sizable checks obtained for client through settlement of jury award, 129
for friend, hoping for professional employment thereby, 265
group legal services, 346
indirect,
enclosure with letters to client, 70
through informing others of trust rights, 76
through investments in loan companies, 211
by a judge for a lawyer, 207
lending institutions requiring customer use of services; Recommendation of professional employment for friend, hoping for professional employment thereby, 265
specific lawyer, 150
letters by legal aid department of union to injured employees, 41
through mailing of gifts, 316
mailings, 56, 70, 73, 168, 413, 414, 416, 420
provision in wills naming counsel for estate, 171
in real estate transactions, 228, 265
on reciprocal basis from other attorneys, 19
referrals from lay estate planning business, 373
by strangers in same class with identical interest of solicited clients, 19
voluntary representation of a convicted person, 240
welcome “wagon” including attorney’s name, 427
wills through the mail, 413

TRADEMARK & COPYRIGHT SEARCHES
TRADEMARK-Supply word and/or design plus goods or services.
SEARCH FEES: TRADEMARK OFFICE - $60* STATE TRADEMARKS - $70
COMMON LAW - $35 EXPANDED COMMON LAW - $80* DESIGNS - $85* per class minimum COPYRIGHT - $50* - plus photo copy cost.
U.S. PATENT SEARCHES - $250 MINIMUM
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING - Trademark & Patent Fees on Request
DOCUMENT PREPARATION: (for attorneys only - Applications. Section 8 & 15. Assignments, renewals, I. RESEARCH - (SEC. 10K s, ICC. FCC. COURT RECORDS. CONGRESS) APPROVED - Our services meet standards set for us by a D.C. Court of Appeals Committee.
Over 100 years total staff experience - not connected with the Federal Government.
GOVERNMENT LIAISON SERVICES. INC.
3030 Clarendon Blvd., Suite 209
Arlington, VA 22201
Phone: (703) 524-8200 - TOLL FREE: 800-842-8884
All major credit cards accepted

Specialization
admiralty, 267
patent law, 93, 289

Statements
obtaining those of opposite party in absence of counsel, 117
State’s attorney. See Prosecuting attorney
Suggested fee schedule. See Fees
Suppression of evidence, 193
Surety. See also Bail bonds
attorney acting as, 46, 140, 251, 347 Surety company
appointment as attorney in fact for, 248
Tax attorneys
designation of, 40, 52, 77, 192, 221, 260, 286, 289, 291, 331
mailings concerning current matters to clients, 56
private practice by county delinquent tax attorney, 281
representation of two taxing agencies, 295
Telephone directory. See Advertising
Termination of employment. See Withdrawal from employment

Threats
of additional prosecution to prevent an appeal, 365

Title, abstract of. See also Advertising
examining attorney’s firm name, 81

Title companies. See Abstract of title companies

Title insurance
attorney in real estate transaction accepting portion of as fee, 408

Tradenames
use of, 398

Tribunals
communications with, copies of submitted briefs also given to opposing counsel, 88
prohibited without full disclosure to opposing counsel, 22
disrespect to, 302
practice of law by court clerks, 1
withholding information, 33

Trusts
for client funds, 76, 391

Unauthorized practice of law. See also Dual employment;
Practice of law by abstract of title companies, 42, 253
acceptable services rendered to firm by unlicensed attorney, 276
laymen on district attorney’s staff, 144
participation in layman-initiated estates planning scheme, 373
preparation of wills by bank trust officer, 177

Unjustifiable litigation, 271. See also Litigation

Veniremen. See Jury

Verification
of false pleadings, 405

Violations of law
concealment of criminal client, 315

Wills
attempts to solicit legal business regarding probate of estate, 280
attorney as beneficiary in, 71
attorney as executor in, 71, 147, 269
bank as executor under reciprocal wills, 216
client commission of fraud concerning, 204
confidences of client with reference to, 55
conflicts of interest in dealing with, 36, 47, 182
fraudulent conduct by attorney in the making of, 152
preparation by bank trust officer, 177
provisions in will naming attorney to act as counsel for estate, 171
solicitation of wills through mail, 413
witnesses to, 360
United States Bankruptcy Judges

The United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit seeks applications from all highly qualified candidates for 14-year appointments as full-time United States Bankruptcy Judges.

Individuals will be appointed to six United States Bankruptcy Judge positions for the Fifth Circuit on or after June 1, 1987, at the following locations within judicial districts in Texas:

- Northern District of Texas at Dallas (one position)
- Western District of Texas at Austin (one position)
- Southern District of Texas at Houston (three positions)
- Corpus Christi (one position).

The selection process for each position will be confidential and competitive. The annual salary is $70,500. Only those persons with a law degree whose character, experience, ability, and impartiality qualify them to serve in the federal judiciary should apply. Persons shall be considered without regard to race, color, sex, religion, or national origin.

If you are interested in applying, please notify in writing Mrs. Lydia G. Comberrel, Circuit Executive, U.S. Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit, 600 Camp Street, New Orleans, LA 70130, and the qualification standards and experience, ability, and impartiality qualify them to serve in the federal judiciary should apply. Persons shall be considered without regard to race, color, sex, religion, or national origin.
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I do the very best I know how — the very best I can; and I mean to keep doing so until the end. If the end brings me out all right, what is said against me won't amount to anything. If the end brings me out wrong, 10 angels swearing I was right would make no difference.

— Abraham Lincoln

---
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Because attorneys are sometimes perceived as legal representatives in situations where they are merely participants, they must be cautious about becoming involved with clients in business ventures. We are aware of numerous malpractice claims involving business ventures in which the lawyer is alleged to have had his professional judgment affected by his business interest. Most of these disputes are messy and involve multiple conflict of interest allegations by claimants whom the lawyer had no intention of representing. Not surprisingly, most of these allegations are the result of business deals that do not produce the desired profits for the participants.

Business dealings with clients may result in disciplinary actions as well. It was reported, in a recent issue of the Texas Bar Journal, that the District 4-C Grievance Committee disciplined an attorney because, he, among other things, "... participated in a business transaction with a former client under circumstances where the exercise of professional judgment could have been affected by his own business interest."

If you elect to do business with your clients anyway, we recommend the following:

- Do not act as the lawyer for the venture or any party with an interest in it.
- If you get involved in business deals, inform your clients or former clients that you are not their attorney or the attorney for the business venture.
- Explain potential conflicts to all parties and advise them to seek independent counsel about the business deal.
- Determine if others involved in the business deal might conceivably believe that you are their lawyer. If such people exist, inform them in writing that you do not represent their interest.
- Budget sufficient funds to defend yourself and pay any judgment if the business venture is unsuccessful. All current professional liability insurance policies of which we are aware exclude coverage for "business enterprises."

Never do the following:

- Buy property from a client unless an independent appraisal is obtained with the appraiser chosen by the client.
- Borrow money from a client.
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